DOI: 10.1002/alr.23212

RESEARCH NOTE



Early increase in eosinophil count may predict long-term hypereosinophilia during dupilumab treatment: a 2-year observational study

Andrea Rampi MD^{1,2} Umberto Tanzini MD^{1,2} Alessandro Vinciguerra MD³ Giulia Danè MD¹ Luca Moroni MD^{2,4} Mona-Rita Yacoub MD⁴ Matteo Trimarchi MD^{1,2,5}

¹Otorhinolaryngology Unit, Division of Head and Neck department, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

²School of Medicine, Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy

³Otorhinolaryngology and Skull Base Center, AP-HP, Hospital Lariboisière, Paris, France

⁴Unit of Immunology, Rheumatology, Allergy and Rare Diseases, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

⁵Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Ospedale Regionale di Lugano – Università della Svizzera Italiana, Lugano, Switzerland

Correspondence

Matteo Trimarchi, MD, Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Ospedale Regionale di Lugano – Università della Svizzera Italiana, Lugano, Switzerland. Email: matteotrimarchi@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

chronic rhinosinusitis, eosinophilic rhinitis and nasal polyposis, paranasal sinus diseases, therapeutics

Key points

- 1. In a limited subset of patients, dupilumab-induced hypereosinophilia is persistent.
- 2. Two-month follow-up eosinophil count may predict long-lasting hypereosinophilia.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Dupilumab has transformed the clinical management of chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) since 2019. This monoclonal antibody, targeting the interleukin (IL) 4 and IL-13 pathways, has in fact provided outstanding results in efficacy and safety.^{1–4} Thus, an increase in the

absolute eosinophil count (AEC) has been observed and widely described as a transient effect resolving within the first few months of therapy. This phenomenon is almost invariably devoid of clinical significance, but, in rare cases, eosinophil-induced organ damage has been observed, suggesting the need to find predictors of its onset and to monitor the condition.^{5,6}

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2023 The Authors. *International Forum of Allergy & Rhinology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Academy of Otolaryngic Allergy and American Rhinologic Society.

2 | METHODS

We present the results of eosinophil counts from a prospective, single-center study on patients who were prescribed dupilumab for CRSwNP at the Ear, Nose, and Throat Department of San Raffaele Hospital, Milan, from February 2021 to February 2023. The study was approved by the hospital's ethics committee (protocol code 112/INT/2021).

Dupilumab (300 mg every 2 weeks) was prescribed according to European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis and Nasal Polyps 2020 criteria,^{7,8} after thorough multidisciplinary examination to exclude other underlying conditions. Data were collected on demographics, comorbidities, endoscopic findings, and blood tests. Dupilumab was not prescribed if AEC was $\geq 1.5 (\times 10^9/L)$, as hereafter implied).

Follow-up (FU) visits were performed at approximately 2, 4, 6, 9, 12, 16, 20, and 24 months; IgE and cell blood counts (CBCs) were required at all visits, and, according to a previous study by our group,⁶ patients with an AEC between 1.5 and 3.0 were more closely monitored (with monthly CBC measurement), while those reaching an AEC \geq 3.0 were prescribed a short-term course of oral corticosteroids.

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 24 (IBM) using Student *t* or chi-square test.

3 | RESULTS

A total of 60 patients were included; pretherapy details are displayed in the Table 1. As patients started treatment at different time points, 60, 57, 50, 37, 25, 21, 18, and four patients reached 2, 4, 6, 9, 12, 16, 20, and 24 months of FU, respectively.

Before therapy, the mean AEC was 0.54; during FU, 13 patients (22%) had an AEC \geq 1.5 at least once, and, among these, four (6.8%) reached the 3.0 threshold. In four of the 25 patients reaching 1-year FU (16%), an AEC \geq 1.5 was detected after at least 12 months, later referred to as "long-lasting hypereosinophilia" (Figure 1). In all cases, hypereosinophilia had no clinical significance, except for one patient with slight worsening of asthma corresponding to an increase in AEC \geq 3.

Age, sex, smoking, body mass index, asthma or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug–exacerbated respiratory disease, total IgE count, and atopy were not significantly correlated with either the development of hypereosinophilia or long-lasting hypereosinophilia (p > 0.05).

The mean pretherapy AEC was higher in patients subsequently developing AEC ≥ 1.5 (0.53 vs 0.61; standard deviation, 0.25 and 0.23, respectively [p = 0.006]), while no statistically significant association was found with long-lasting hypereosinophilia. **TABLE 1** Summary of the demographic and anamnestic features of the 60 patients.

	Mean (SD) or percentage
Age (years)	52.05 (12.25)
Weight (kg)	72 (12.85)
Height (m)	1.71 (0.1)
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.45 (2.84)
Men	61.7
Atopy ^a	52.8
Asthma ^b	78.3
Late-onset asthma ^c	30.6
N-ERD	41.7
Current or past smoker	48

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; N-ERD, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug-exacerbated respiratory disease; SD, standard deviation.

^aPatients were asked about their potential atopic condition, but not all underwent prick tests or specific IgE in the absence of symptoms. For this reason, this condition may be underestimated.

^bPatients having the criteria for the prescription of dupilumab for both severe asthma and chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) were not included in the present study, as they were given a doubled first dose (as per asthma regimen).

^cDefined as first diagnosis \geq 40 years, considering only patients with asthma.

The value of the AEC at 2 months was instead a valuable predictor of long-lasting hypereosinophilia (calculated in patients with FU \geq 1 year): a value \geq 3.0 was found to be a risk factor, while an AEC < 1.5 was identified as protective. In both cases, the correlation was statistically significant with a strong association (p < 0.001, Cramer V 0.84 and p = 0.001, Cramer V 0.70, respectively).

4 | DISCUSSION

The present study confirms that an increase in AEC is frequent during therapy with dupilumab, with a proportion slightly higher (22%) than in the SINUS trials.¹ Our findings, thus, support the long-lasting persistence of this laboratory test abnormality: in a significant percentage of patients (all experiencing hypereosinophilia during the first year), an AEC \geq 1.5 was also detected at 12 months or later. This represents an original finding since, even if this was noted in some registrational studies,⁹ only sporadic cases of long-lasting hypereosinophilia have been described in real-life, while the percentage in our cohort is significant (although on a limited sample) and suggests considering it as a constant condition in a subset of patients. It is worth specifying that different studies reported that the median value of AEC was stable during FU (even if the mean AEC

IFAR: Allergy



FIGURE 1 Evolution of the mean absolute eosinophil count (AEC) during follow-up in different subgroups: all patients (blue line, 60 patients); those never experiencing AEC \geq 1.5 (green line, 47 patients); patients with AEC at least once \geq 1.5 but resolving within 1 year (yellow line, nine patients); and cases with hypereosinophilia (HE) lasting \geq 12 months (red line, four patients).

increased), advising that hypereosinophilia may affect specific patients.^{1,9} As shown in the Figure 1, this finding seems to be confirmed in our cohort, since patients never reaching an AEC of 1.5 had a stable eosinophil count.

Our study could not detect a link between demographic features, body mass index, or type 2 comorbidities and the development of hypereosinophilia or long-lasting hypereosinophilia; the reasons for the predisposition to hypereosinophilia are therefore still obscure, but our analysis suggests that this propensity is detectable early during FU, 2 months after the first injection. Specifically, patients reaching the 3.0 threshold had a significantly higher risk of developing long-lasting hypereosinophilia, while a value < 1.5 appeared to be protective (Cramer V 0.84 and 0.70, respectively).

In addition, pretherapy AEC was higher in patients who subsequently developed AEC ≥ 1.5 (0.53 vs 0.61, p = 0.006). This finding is particularly interesting when compared with other recent evidence: a review of the registrational studies for all indications by Wechsler et al.⁹ found that pretherapy AEC > 0.5 is a risk factor for hypereosinophilia during the study; in a still uncertain field, these are valuable data for a frequently developing condition. The same review by Wechsler et al.,⁹ in fact, found a peak in AEC > 1.5 and >3.0 in up to 14% and 3.9% of patients, respectively (even more with corticosteroid tapering)¹⁰; thus, hypereosinophilia reaches clinical significance in only a minimal fraction of cases.

Moreover, AEC alone is probably insufficient to reliably predict the development of hypereosinophilia, while new markers are required; similarly, the value of 1.5 is widely recognized, but it does not constitute a solid safe/risk line. In this uncertain scenario, some authors have suggested monitoring CBC, while others advised further assessments only in the event of symptoms.^{4–6} Accordingly, the identification of a subpopulation that is more prone to hypereosinophilia would allow tailored FU according to the patient's specific risk with more efficient allocation of resources.

The present data need to be confirmed, as the limited number of patients reduces the possibility to draw definite conclusions. Notwithstanding, this is the first real-life study to describe long-lasting dupilumab-induced hypereosinophilia in a prospective manner. Our findings suggest that 2-month eosinophil count may play an essential role in clinical practice.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors have nothing to report. The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Open access funding provided by Universita della Svizzera italiana.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Matteo Trimarchi declares honoraria as a speaker from Sanofi, GlaxoSmithKline, and Novartis. Luca Moroni declares honoraria as a speaker and advisory boarding from GlaxoSmithKline and AstraZeneca. Mona-Rita Yacoub declares honoraria as a speaker from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, and Sanofi. The other authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID

Andrea Rampi MD https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4952-5348

Matteo Trimarchi MD https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6472-3484

REFERENCES

- 1. Bachert C, Han JK, Desrosiers M, et al. Efficacy and safety of dupilumab in patients with severe chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (LIBERTY NP SINUS-24 and LIBERTY NP SINUS-52): results from two multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group phase 3 trials. *Lancet.* 2019;394:1638-1650.
- 2. Trimarchi M, Vinciguerra A, Rampi A, et al. A prospective study on the efficacy of dupilumab in chronic rhinosinusitis with type 2 inflammation. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital.* 2022;42:538-544.
- 3. De Corso E, Settimi S, Montuori C, et al. Effectiveness of dupilumab in the treatment of patients with severe uncontrolled CRSwNP: a "Real-Life" observational study in the first year of treatment. *J Clin Med.* 2022;11:2684.
- 4. van der Lans RJL, Fokkens WJ, Adriaensen GFJPM, et al. Reallife observational cohort verifies high efficacy of dupilumab for chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. *Allergy*. 2022;77:670-674.

- 5. Caminati M, Olivieri B, Dama A, et al. Dupilumab-induced hypereosinophilia: review of the literature and algorithm proposal for clinical management. *Expert Rev Respir Med.* 2022;16:713-721.
- 6. Vinciguerra A, Rampi A, Yacoub MR, et al. Hypereosinophilia management in patients with type 2 chronic rhinosinusitis treated with dupilumab: preliminary results. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2022;279(11):5231-5238.
- Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Hopkins C, et al. European position paper on rhinosinusitis and Nasal Polyps 2020. *Rhinology*. 2020;58:1-464.
- Rampi A, Vinciguerra A, Tanzini U, et al. Comparison of guidelines for prescription and follow-up of biologics for chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2023;280:39-46.
- 9. Wechsler ME, Klion AD, Paggiaro P, et al. Effect of dupilumab on blood eosinophil counts in patients with asthma, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps, atopic dermatitis, or eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*. 2022;10:2695-2709.
- Busse WW, Maspero JF, Rabe KF, et al. Liberty Asthma QUEST: phase 3 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study to evaluate dupilumab efficacy/safety in patients with uncontrolled, moderate-to-severe asthma. *Adv Ther*. 2018;35:737-748.

How to cite this article: Rampi A, Tanzini U, Vinciguerra A, et al. Early increase in eosinophil count may predict long-term hypereosinophilia during dupilumab treatment: a 2-year observational study. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*. 2023;1-4. https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.23212